1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	NORTHERN DISTRI	NKRUPTCY COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
9	OAKLAND	DIVISION
10		
11	In re:	Case No. 11-45175
12	WALTER AND MARIBEL NG	Chapter 11
13	Debtor	
14	,	
15	MICHAEL D. AHERN, SANDRA AHERN, ELEANOR AHERN, WILLIAM AHERN,	Adv.Proc. No
16	JONATHAN ATHEN, PATRIDIA VELLA TRUSTEE ON BEHALF OT HE CAMPTION	COMPLAINT TO DETERMINE
17	FAMILY EXEMPT TRUST, DONTALD ANZILOTTI, JOHN LEE, JOHN R. LEE AND	DISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBT (11 U.S.C §523 (a)(4), (6))
18 19	CATHERINE R. BRENT, TRUSTORS FOR THE JOHN AND EVELYN LEE SETTLORS	\(\frac{322}{3}\)\(\frac{1}{4}\),\(\frac{1}{3}\)
20	TRUST, CATHERINE R. BRENT, ,	
21	DONALDK AND JOAN KANE AS TRUSTEES FOR THE DONALD AND JOAN	
22	KANE REVOCALBE TRUST, GREGORY D. BRENT, DEBRA A BRENT, KEVIN	
23	AHERN, JEFF AND DIANE CRANOR, Plaintiffs.	
24	v.	
25	WALTER and MARIBEL NG	
26	Defendant.	
27		
28	Plaintiffs allege the following:	
	<u> </u>	1-

COMPLAINT TO DETERMINE DISCHARGEABILITY OF DEBT
Case: 11-04248 Doc# 1 Filed: 08/05/11 Entered: 08/05/11 16:34:53 Page 1 of 8

- 6. Plaintiffs incorporate each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 5 above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 7. On the date of the filing of the petition in bankruptcy, Plaintiffs were and now are creditors of Defendant.
- 8. During the past ten years or so on a yearly basis Defendant held events for Plaintiffs in or about May of each year. The events were used by Defendant to entice Plaintiffs to give Defendant money. Defendant made verbal representations to Plaintiffs at such events.
- 9. During the past ten years or so Defendant gave to Plaintiffs written documents to entice Plaintiffs to give Defendant money. Defendant made written representations to Plaintiffs in such documents.
- 10. Defendant represented to Plaintiffs both verbally at such events and in writing in such documents that if Plaintiffs gave Defendant money, then the following would be true:
- a. Plaintiffs would receive interest on the money and that Plaintiffs could have their money and the interest back at any time.
 - b. Plaintiffs' money would be safe.
 - c. Plaintiffs' ability to withdraw money would not be affected.
- d. Defendant would continue to use the same business procedure, practices and philosophy as he had for the past 21 years, including maintaining the liquidity of the money.
- e. The money would not be used to make and sub-prime home loans in Plaintiff's portfolio.
 - f. The assets which are the security for the money were strong.
 - 11. Defendant had a duty of full disclosure and honesty to Plaintiffs.
- 12. These representations were false and Defendant knew such representations were false.

	13.	Defendant intentionally made the false representations to Plaintiffs to coerce
Plaint	iffs to gi	ve Defendant money.

- Defendant unlawfully used said funds of Plaintiffs with full knowledge of the consequences that Plaintiffs would suffer upon his fraud and misappropriation of Plaintiff's
- Defendant intended Plaintiffs to rely on such representations, Plaintiffs relied upon Defendant's representations and Plaintiffs had a reasonable right to rely on Defendant's
 - As a direct result of such representations, Plaintiffs gave money to Defendant.
- Plaintiffs were harmed by the representations of Defendant in that Plaintiffs gave defendant money which Defendant refuses to return to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have been harmed in the full amount that they gave to Defendant that has not been returned, plus interest, fees and
- In making such representations herein alleged, Defendant acted despicably with oppression, fraud and malice, entitling Plaintiffs to punitive damages in the amount according to
- The damages suffered by Plaintiffs are nondischargeable under §523(a)(2)(A) of

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendant as hereinafter set forth.

(For All Plaintiffs, to Determine DISCHARGEABILITY of Debts for Property Obtained by Fraud or Defalcation While Acting in a Fiduciary Capacity)

Plaintiffs incorporate each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through

28

Plaintiffs incorporate each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through

29.

28 above, as though fully set forth herein.

27

28

30.	The written representations were false and were used to entice Plaintiffs to give
money to Defe	endants.

- 31. The false representations were made with respect to Defendant's insider's financial condition.
- 32. As a direct result of such written false representations, Plaintiffs reasonably relied on such false representations and gave money to Defendant.
- 33. Plaintiffs were harmed by the written false representations of Defendant in that Plaintiffs gave Defendant money which Defendant refuses to return to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have been harmed in the full amount that they gave to Defendant that has not been returned, plus interest, fees and costs.
- 34. In making such representations herein alleged, Defendant acted despicably with oppression, fraud and malice, entitling Plaintiffs to punitive damages in the amount according to proof at trial.
- 35. The debt owed by Defendant to Plaintiffs for the wrongful use of Plaintiffs' funds is nondischargeable under §523(a)(2)(B)(i) of the United States Bankruptcy Code.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendant as hereinafter set forth.

Wherefore, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court:

- a. Determines that Defendant's obligations, damages or debt to Plaintiffs are nondischargeable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §523(a)(4)(6);
- b. For damages on its First Claim in the sums set forth in attachment A plus interest according to proof;
- c. For damages on its Second Claim in the minimum sum as set forth in Attachment A plus interest according to proof;

H	H.	
1	d. For damages on its Third Clain	n in the minimum sums as set forth in attachment A,
2	plus interest according to proof	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3	e. For interest, costs and attorney	fees be awarded Plaintiffs on the above described
4	obligation to the maximum allo	owed by law;
5	f. For punitive damages according	g to proof;
6	g For costs of suit: and	
7		f as this Court deems just and proper.
8		
9	Dated August 2, 2011	Peter H. Bonis _
11		Peter H. Bonis
12		Attorney at Law Law Offices of Peter H. Bonis
13		Law Offices of Feter II. Bonis
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	:	
20	<i>;</i>	
21		
22		
23		
2425		
26		
27		
28	·	

ZKHBIT A

NAME	ACCOUNT#	AMOUNT
Michael D. Ahern, Sep IRA	2AHE011	050.404
Sandra Ahern	AHE015	259,131
Sandra Ahern	A011-LLC	128,465
Eleanor & William Ahern	A010-LLC	100,000
Eleanor Ahern	AHE045	100,000
C/O Michael Ahern 4012 Sugar Maple	Dr. Danville, Ca. 94506	101,437
Jonathan Ahern	AHE030	10.000
Jonathan Ahern	08AHE06	40,028
Jonathan Ahern	ORATIENS	36,676
1015 Sunshine Circle Danville, Ca 94506	5	16,892
Campion Family Comment	08VEL00	205,936
1890 St. George Rd. Danville, Ca. 94526		
Donard Mizhotti		
5963 Castlebrook Dr. Castro Valley, Ca 9)4552	102,000
COMMITTEE CO	LEE040	64,065
690 Silverlake Dr. Danville, Ca. 94526		04,003
John & Evelyn Lee Settelors Trust	LEE041	342,222
ohn R. Lee & Catherine R. Brent Trustee	'S	344,22
Catherine R. Brent 0574	BRE025	5,830
166 East Lane Alamo, Ca 94507		5,050
Donald & Joan Kane, Trustees	08KAN00	255,180
Donald & Joan Kane Rev. Trust		4JJ,10V
440 Ewing Rd. Castro Valley, Ca. 94546		
regory D. & Debra A. Brent	BRE020	35,086
727 Kildeer Court Union City, Ca 94587		,
evin Ahern	AHF035	88,600
271 Camino Tassajara Danville, Ca 94520	6	99,000
eff and Diane Cranor		100,000
eff Cranor IRA	•	100,000
iane Cranor IRA		60,000
37 Silverlake Drive Danville, Ca 94526		30,000